# Measles has Killed but What Next in the 21st Century? 

Gupta S. N.*, Gupta N aveen**<br>*District AIDS Program Officer, Chief Medical Officer office, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Kangra at DharamshalaHimachal Pradesh-India. **Freelance researcher in Epidemiology and Ayurveda, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, India.

The name Rubeola derives its name from A rabic, meaning thereby Red spots [1]. ThenameM orbilli was derived from Italian word Morbillo [2]. Home established measles as an infectious disease in $18^{\text {th }}$ century. A nother worker Withering in 1792 reasserted that the difference of measles with small pox existed. Recognition of themeasles as a separate entity from German measles dates back to early $19^{\text {th }}$ century. Panum was the first worker who did classical studies on theepidemiology of measles in Faroe Island in 1847. Measles viruswas isolated from eleven years old boy named David Edmonston in USA in 1954 (Enderset al: 1954). The K opliks spots which are absolutely pathognomonic of theinvasion of the measles were described by Koplik in 1896[3].

Before active immunization was available, epidemics of measles used to occur in the cyclical trend of two to three years during spring months and before the age of fifteen years $95 \%$ of the population used to suffer from the disease. Typically, a disease of children, it may occur at any age in the remotest isolated communities if the disease is introduced for the first time. Theseepidemics in the virgin population areaccompanied by high mortality rate. Outbreaks in Faroe Islands in 1846 and in Fizi Island in 1875 are examples of this type of transmission. There are a number of other examples of such type of virgin epidemics and the highest mortality rate, as Greenland had its first exposurein 1951 and the epidemics affected as high as $99.9 \%$ of the indigenous population (Christensen et. al; 1952). According to WHO report, in the absence of
immunization, $90 \%$ of the persons can be expected to develop clinical measles sometimes in their life time as noted in Greenland in 1951 epidemic [4].

M easles was the captain of childhood deaths and diseases globally hardly 30 years ago. M ore than 1 million measles-related deaths per year was al most certainly an underestimate. Pediatric wards in the developing world were flooded with patients with measles and its complications, and measles continued to bea major cause of blindness globally. In 1980, routine immunizations, including a single dose of measles vaccine, to the poorest countries of theworld produced remarkableresults, culminating in the achievement of theglobal Universal Childhood Immunization goals in 1990 and thereafter, introduction of the second dose of measles revolutionized in containing the morbidity and mortal ity related to measles in low, middleand high incomecountries. Obviously, therewasa visibleshift of the cases in higher age group [5]. Regardless of what the truemortality ratewas in 2000, there is no doubt that by 2008gl obal measles-rel ated deaths had dedined markedly, to an estimated 164,000. M easlescontrol activities had been outstandingly successful. It appeared to beonly a matter of timebeforetheworld could feasibly take on thetask of measles elimination to eradication[6].

In most countries, the incidence of disease is highest among children in the first year of life, whereas theproportion of cases occurring in children older than 5 years of age and in adults varies from country to country. Infants under 9 months of age
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are traditionally regarded as too young to be vaccinated. Increasingly, however, babies arebeing born to mothers with minimal measles immunity, often owing to minimal natural boosting after childhood immunization. Such mothers pass lessprotectiveimmunity to their infants, who aretherefore protected for a shorter period, and it has been proposed that these infants bevaccinated at a younger age. A recent trial of measles immunization at 4 months of age in Guinea-Bissau showed adequate protectiveefficacy. If these results can bereplicated elsewhere, it would be appropriate to revisit the recommended age of firstmeasles vaccination in order to close the current window of susceptibility.

The measles vaccine used now in India is a live attenuated strain of measles virus, the immunity of which is lifelong [7]. However, these vaccines are not $100 \%$ effective. In countries whereimmunization is undertaken at $12-15$ months of age, measles vaccine efficacy ranges between $90 \%$ and $95 \%$. In India, where the first dose is given at 9-12 months of age, thevaccine efficacy is approximately $85 \%$. Although measles immunization is an effective strategy to prevent the cases, outbreaks can continue to occur especially in densely populated areas such as urban slums, even with the good coverage. The effective vaccination has reduced the incidence in children and theadolescent groups areaffected.

Fig. 1: Immunization coverage status of Polio, DPT, BCG and Measles in India from 1980 to 2002


Fig. 2: 90\% reduction in estimated measles deaths, 1985-2012


Source: WHO/ IVB estimates, February 2014

Though major agegroup involved is 1 to 14 years, infant measles is also reported [8, 9, 10]. Measles mortality is the highest in the malnourished populations [11]. Although global immunization coverage increased from less than 20\% in 1983 to

80\% in 1990 and the number of reported cases of measles declined from over 4 million per year to 0.7 million in 1997, but still the casefatal ity ratio ranges (from 0.1 to $30 \%$ in outbreaks among high-risk population in various countries [12]. The lack of
reliablesurveillance data and understanding of local measles epidemiology makes it difficult to fully appreciate the public health burden in India and to organizetargeted measles morbidity and mortality reduction strategy.
The largest percentage reduction in estimated measles mortality during 1999-2005 was in the Western Pacific region (81\%), followed by Africa ( $75 \%$ ) and the eastern Mediterranean region (62\%). Africa achieved the Iargest total reduction, contributing $72 \%$ of theglobal reduction in measles mortality [13]. However, by 2015 reduction of target is $95 \%$. So wearestill substantially short of thisto go to the target date.

The graph reflects the estimated number of measles deaths w orldwide for thetimeperiod from 1985 through to 2012. Whilethereis an impressive $90 \%$ dedinel ooking over the whole time period, there was a $78 \%$ reduction during the period 2000 to 2012. During this period alone it is estimated that 13.8 million deaths were averted through measles vaccination.

By 2008, the WHO and partners werestruggling with polio eradication, which they had missed their 2000 global target. On scientific and public health grounds, the feasibility, desirability, and timing of measleseradication should not bedependent on the ongoing polio-eradication effort [14]. In practice, however, the two efforts are inextricably linked. Becausethesamedonors that fund polio-eradication programs will be called on to support measles eradication, the shifting of resources could jeopardize
polio eradication efforts. Some argue that if polio eradication is really feasible, it should becompleted beforemeasles-eradication efforts arelaunched; yet by 2008, continuing polio transmission in Afghanistan, Nigeria, Pakistan, and re-emergencein other countries where the virus was endemic was leading to growing skepticism about the feasibility of eradication.

Although global measles control seems to be struggling, the polio situation is looking somewhat morepromising. On January 13, 2012, India reported that it had been 12 months since the last wild-virus poliomyelitis case was confirmed in that country. N ow India has officially declared 'Polio Free' by the World H ealth Organization in $13^{\text {th }}$ January, 2014. It is one of the 11 countries in the South East Asian region which have been certified as wild polio virus free countries. A 2.3 million strong team of polio volunteers and 150,000 supervisors worked day and night to reach every child. However, 2014 saw increased numbers of new polio cases in Pakistan (306-Wild Polio Virus/ WPV), Nigeria (6/ WPV), and Afghanistan (26/ WPV), according to the Global Polio Eradication Initiative. Polio eradication remains an elusive target, al though there is growing optimism that it may eventually beachieved.

Global Polio Eradication Initiative (Polio this week as of 15 July 2015)
(http://www.polioeradication.org/D ataandmonitoring/ Poliothisweek.aspx)

Table 1: Wild polio virus type 1 and circulating vaccine-derived poliovirus cases

| Total cases | Year-to-date 2015 <br> WPV |  | cVDPV | Year-to-date 2014 |  | Total in 2014 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WPV | cVDPV | WPV | cVDPV |  |  |  |
| Globally | 33 | 9 | 122 | 29 | 359 | 55 |  |
| -in endemic countries | 33 | 1 | 107 | 29 | 340 | 52 |  |
| - in non-endemic countries | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 3 |  |

Table 2: Case breakdown by country

| Countries | Year-to-date 2015 |  | Year-to-date 2014 |  | Total in 2014 |  | Onset of paralysis of most recent case |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WPV | cVDPV | WPV | cVDPV | WPV | cVDPV | WPV | cVDPV |
| Pakistan | 28 | 0 | 94 | 16 | 306 | 22 | 30-Jun-15 | 13-Dec-14 |
| Afghanistan | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 07-Jun-15 | N/A |
| Nigeria | 0 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 30 | 24-Jul-14 | 16-May-15 |
| Somalia | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11-Aug-14 | N/A |
| Equatorial Guinea | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 03-May-14 | N/A |
| Iraq | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 07-Apr-14 | N/A |
| Cameroon | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 09-Jul-14 | N/A |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21-Jan-14 | N/A |
| Ethiopia | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 05-Jan-14 | N/A |
| South Sudan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | N/A | 12-Sep-14 |

If and when that occurs, the overall financial costs plus the opportunity costs will have exceeded the initial estimates many times over - a point that is not likely to belost on funding agencies. Thesefigures will be essential for calculating realistic costs of measles eradication, which should be analyzed and weighed against the substantial future health and economic benefits such an initiative could bring. In the shorter term, however, until greater measles control is achieved, particularly in Western Europe and Africa, health professionals in theUnited States and elsewhere those countries which have reached elimination stage, a pool of the susceptible would collect over the period of time and thereby those countries due to imported measles virus can anticipatemoresmall but scattered outbreaks, mind it not the bigger ones among susceptiblegroups[5].
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